

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND UNIFORM  
RELOCATION ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1987**

[Public Law 100-17, Approved April 2, 1987]

[As Amended Through P.L. 107-377, Enacted December 19, 2002]

**【Currency:** This publication is a compilation of Public Law 100-17. It was last amended by the public law listed in the As Amended Through note above and below at the bottom of each page of the pdf version and reflects current law through the date of the enactment of the public law listed at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/comps/>**】**

**【Note:** While this publication does not represent an official version of any Federal statute, substantial efforts have been made to ensure the accuracy of its contents. The official version of Federal law is found in the United States Statutes at Large and in the United States Code. The legal effect to be given to the Statutes at Large and the United States Code is established by statute (1 U.S.C. 112, 204).**】**

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987”.

**【23 U.S.C. 101 note】**

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

**TITLE I—FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY ACT OF 1987**

- Sec. 101. Short title.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 110. Vending machines and State police barracks.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 114. Interstate discretionary funds.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 120. Toll facilities.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 123. Bridge program.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 133. Highway technical amendments.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 136. Implementation of certain orders.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 145. Exemption from right-of-way restrictions.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 147. Shirley Highway traffic restrictions.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 149. Demonstration and priority projects.  
\* \* \* \* \*
- Sec. 151. Delaware River bridges.
- Sec. 152. Prohibition on widening certain routes through historic district.
- Sec. 153. Urban high density program.
- Sec. 154. Signs identifying funding sources.

**Sec. 2 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND UNIFORM RELOCATION ASS... 2**

Sec. 155. Salvage operation.  
\* \* \* \* \*

**TITLE III—FEDERAL MASS TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1987**

\* \* \* \* \*  
Sec. 338. Multi-year contract for Metro Rail Project.  
\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.**

As used in this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Transportation.

[23 U.S.C. 101 note]

**TITLE I—FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY ACT OF 1987**

**SEC. 101. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1987”.

[23 U.S.C. 101 note]

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 110. VENDING MACHINES AND STATE POLICE BARRACKS.**

(a)

(b) STATE POLICE BARRACKS.—Notwithstanding any provision of section 111 of title 23, United States Code, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts is authorized to construct a State Police Barracks, including customary access and egress, on State owned property at the intersection of I-93 and Route 3, in Quincy, Massachusetts.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 114. INTERSTATE DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.**

(a)

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) CREDIT FOR CERTAIN UNUSED RIGHT-OF-WAY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the value of unused right-of-way acquired under section 104(b)(5)(A) of title 23, United States Code, or section 118(b)(2) of such title in the State of Arizona may be credited to the unobligated balance of funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(5)(B) of such title if requested by the State and approved by the Secretary.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 120. TOLL FACILITIES.**

(a)

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) VOIDING OF CERTAIN AGREEMENTS.—

(1) WEST VIRGINIA AND KANSAS TURNPIKES AND FORT MC HENRY TUNNEL.—Upon the request of the appropriate State highway department of the West Virginia Turnpike (I-77 in the State of West Virginia), the Fort McHenry Tunnel, Mary-

land, and the Kansas Turnpike, Kansas, and upon such department entering into an agreement with the Secretary that toll revenues from operation of the tolled facility will be used only on such facility for construction and reconstruction costs and for the costs necessary for the proper operation and debt service of such facility (including resurfacing, reconstruction, rehabilitation, and restoration), the Secretary may void any agreement entered into with such department with respect to such facility before the date of the enactment of this subsection under section 129(a), 129(d), or 129(e) of title 23, United States Code.

(2) NEWBURGH-BEACON BRIDGE.—Upon the request of the New York State Bridge Authority with respect to the Newburgh-Beacon Bridge and upon such Authority entering into an agreement with the Secretary that toll revenues from operation of such bridge will be used only on facilities subject to the jurisdiction of such Authority for construction and reconstruction costs and the costs necessary for the proper operation and debt service of such bridge (including resurfacing, reconstruction, rehabilitation, and restoration), the Secretary may void any agreement entered into with such operator with respect to such bridge before the date of the enactment of this subsection under section 129(a), 129(d), or 129(e) of title 23, United States Code.

(d) EXTENSION OF TOLLS TO FINANCE CERTAIN INELIGIBLE CONSTRUCTION EXPENSES.—Notwithstanding section 129(e) of title 23, United States Code, upon request of the State of Florida, the Secretary shall modify the agreement entered into with the highway department of such State under such section to permit the collection of tolls to liquidate such indebtedness as may be incurred to finance any cost associated with a feature of a project on the toll road which is subject to such agreement if such feature is a feature which the Secretary does not permit Federal participation with funds apportioned under section 104(b)(5)(A) of such title and which is recommended to be included as a part of the project by the final environmental impact statement with respect to such project.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 123. BRIDGE PROGRAM.**

(a)

\* \* \* \* \*

(f) HISTORIC BRIDGES.—

(1) FINDINGS.—Congress hereby finds and declares it to be in the national interest to encourage the rehabilitation, reuse and preservation of bridges significant in American history, architecture, engineering and culture. Historic bridges are important links to our past, serve as safe and vital transportation routes in the present, and can represent significant resources for the future.

**[23 U.S.C. 144 note]**

\* \* \* \* \*

(3) STUDY.—

**Sec. 123 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND UNIFORM RELOCATION ASS... 4**

(A) TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD.—The Secretary shall make appropriate arrangements with the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy of Sciences to carry out a study on the effects of the bridge program conducted under section 144 of title 23, United States Code, on the preservation and rehabilitation of historic bridges. The Transportation Research Board shall also develop recommendations of specific standards which shall apply only to the rehabilitation of historic bridges, and shall provide an analysis of any other factors which would serve to enhance the rehabilitation of historic bridges.

(B) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after entering into appropriate arrangements under subparagraph (A), the Transportation Research Board shall submit to the Secretary and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Public Works and Transportation of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study conducted under subparagraph (A) and on the recommendations developed pursuant to subparagraph (A).

**[23 U.S.C. 144 note]**

(g) STATE MATCHING SHARE.—The State or local governmental matching share for the Calder bridge project being constructed under title 23, United States Code, across the Saint Joe River, 19 miles east of Saint Maries, Idaho, including approaches—

(1) may be credited if the fair market value of land incorporated into the project if the land is in addition to existing public right-of-way and is donated to the State or local government;

(2) may be credited by the fair market value of construction on the project performed by or donated to the State or local government; and

(3) may be credited by the fair market value of preliminary engineering and the preparation of an environmental impact statement performed by or donated to the State or local government;

before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

\* \* \* \* \*

(i) JAMESTOWN BRIDGE.—Federal-aid highway funds may be expended on the Jamestown Bridge project connecting the mainland of Rhode Island with the Island of Jamestown only—

(1) if the bridge meets all requirements and standards of title 23, United States Code, and any other applicable Federal law; and

(2) if the railing of the bridge—

(A) is designed to provide motorists with a view of the surrounding natural areas comparable to the view provided by the Newport Bridge in Rhode Island; and

(B) has been proven to be crash worthy through full scale testing in accordance with currently accepted test criteria.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 133. HIGHWAY TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**

(a)

\* \* \* \* \*

(f) **ALTERNATIVE ROUTE.**—The authorization of that portion of the Interstate System in Mobile County, Alabama, designated as I-210, connecting I-65 and I-10 in the vicinity of Prichard-Mobile, Alabama, authorized by the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, shall include, as an alternative, authorization to construct an interstate spur commencing at I-65 in the area of Prichard, Alabama, and terminating in the vicinity of downtown Mobile, Alabama. The total mileage of such spur shall not exceed 6.25 miles. In no case shall the eligible cost of construction of the spur exceed the eligible cost of the originally authorized route if it had been constructed.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 136. IMPLEMENTATION OF CERTAIN ORDERS.**

In implementing any order issued by the President which provides for or requires a percentage reduction in new budget authority, unobligated balances, obligated balances, new loan guarantee commitments, new direct loan obligations, spending authority, or obligation limitations for the Federal-aid highway, mass transit and highway safety programs and with respect to which the budget account activity as identified in the program and financing schedule contained in the Appendix to the Budget of the United States Government for such programs includes more than one specific highway, mass transit, or highway safety program or project for which budget authority is provided by this Act or an amendment made by this Act, the Secretary shall apply the percentage reduction equally to each such specific program or project.

**[23 U.S.C. 104 note]**

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 145. EXEMPTION FROM RIGHT-OF-WAY RESTRICTION.**

A facility located in part on the right-of-way of Interstate Route I-94 in Michigan and in the vicinity of the interchange of I-94 and Michigan State Route 25 is hereby exempt from the restrictions contained in section 111 of title 23, United States Code, prohibiting certain commercial establishments on rights-of-way of the Interstate System. Such exemption shall be for the purpose of permitting the Michigan Department of Transportation to enter into a lease agreement allowing the use of such facility for the sale of only those articles which are for export and for consumption outside the United States.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 147. SHIRLEY HIGHWAY TRAFFIC RESTRICTIONS.**

(a) **EXPRESS LANES.**—

(1) **RUSH HOUR RESTRICTIONS.**—Except in the case of an emergency as determined by the State of Virginia or the District of Columbia, the State of Virginia and the District of Columbia shall prohibit the use of the Shirley Highway express

lanes by a vehicle other than a bus, an emergency vehicle, a vehicle carrying 4 or more persons, and a motorcycle—

(A) on northbound lanes, during the hours of 6 o'clock ante meridian to 9 o'clock ante meridian on Monday through Friday, exclusive of holidays, and

(B) on southbound lanes, during the hours of 3:30 o'clock post meridian to 6 o'clock post meridiem on Monday through Friday, exclusive of holidays.

The State of Virginia and the District of Columbia may not prohibit the use of such lanes during such hours by a bus, an emergency vehicle, or a vehicle carrying 4 or more persons.

(2) USE OF EXPRESS LANES AT OTHER TIMES.—The State of Virginia and the District of Columbia may not prohibit the use of the Shirley Highway express lanes during hours other than the hours described in paragraph (1) by a vehicle which is not also prohibited from using the other lanes of the Shirley Highway.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

(1) EMERGENCY VEHICLE.—The term “emergency vehicle” includes a public utility vehicle on legitimate emergency business.

(2) MOTORCYCLE.—The term “motorcycle” means a motor vehicle designed to travel on not more than 3 wheels in contact with the ground.

(3) SHIRLEY HIGHWAY EXPRESS LANES.—The term “Shirley Highway express lanes” means the high occupancy vehicle lanes on Interstate Route I-395 in the District of Columbia and Virginia and on Interstate Route I-95 from its intersection with Interstate Route I-395 to Woodbridge, Virginia.

(c) ENFORCEMENT.—The Secretary shall withhold 1 percent of the amount required to be apportioned to the State of Virginia or to the District of Columbia under sections 104 and 144 of title 23, United States Code, on the first day of the fiscal year succeeding any fiscal year in which the State of Virginia or the District of Columbia, as the case may be, is in violation of any provision of this section.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 149. DEMONSTRATION AND PRIORITY PROJECTS.**

(a) PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS.—

(1) PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—The Secretary shall utilize the procedures adopted to carry out the demonstration project under section 141 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 and the methods for processing highway projects required to be established by section 129 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 to accelerate design and construction of a highway project which completes a gap on the Federal-aid primary system in an urban area along the Passaic River in Passaic County, New Jersey, and for which most of the right-of-way has been acquired.

(2) BRICK TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate methods of improving traffic operations and reducing accidents (A) at a high-volume rotary intersection in Brick Township, New Jersey, and

(B) on a route connecting such intersection with another high-volume rotary intersection in Wall Township, New Jersey.

(3) JOHNSTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a demonstration project in the vicinity of Johnstown, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating methods by which a highway construction project on a segment of the Federal-aid primary system will enhance highway safety and economic development in an area of high unemployment.

(4) FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate the economic growth and development benefits of widening a segment of the Federal-aid urban system connecting a community college and a large commercial center in the vicinity of Fort Smith, Arkansas, and of improving traffic signalization on such segment.

(5) MINNESOTA.—The Secretary shall carry out a demonstration project on the Federal-aid urban system for the purpose of demonstrating the economic and safety benefits—

(A) of constructing (i) a grade separation between a railroad line and a highway, (ii) a half diamond interchange, and (iii) a safety overpass, in the vicinity of Moorhead, Minnesota; and

(B) of rehabilitating 3 deteriorated segments of a major east-west highway on the Federal-aid primary system in the vicinities of Fosston, Bagley, and Shevlin, Minnesota.

(6) LOYSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct a 2-lane bypass around Loysburg in Bedford County, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of accelerating project construction and resolving environmental concerns among Federal and State agencies.

(7) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a demonstration project in the vicinity of the Ontario International Airport in San Bernardino County, California, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway access to an airport which is projected to incur a substantial increase in air service.

(8) ALTOONA, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to close a gap of approximately 12 miles in a multilane limited access road connecting the city of Altoona to the borough of Tyrone in Blair County, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating state of the art delineation technology. For comparison purposes, the highway section to be constructed shall connect a highway section constructed with current delineation technology and an older highway section constructed with traditional delineation technology. The project shall demonstrate the latest horizontal and vertical delineation techniques and utilize innovative techniques in highway delineation treatments to improve traffic control and highway safety. All delineation elements shall be designed to provide the optimum life-cycle costs, thereby maximizing the highway safety benefits and minimizing future maintenance costs. The Secretary shall provide necessary technical assistance in the design and construction of the project. Upon completion of the

project, the highway shall be added to the Federal-aid primary system.

(9) LOUISIANA.—

(A) LAFAYETTE.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to demonstrate the benefits to traffic flow and transportation of labor and materials by construction of a highway to provide limited continuous access between an interstate route and a highway on the Federal-aid primary system in Lafayette, Louisiana.

(B) SHREVEPORT.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project which will demonstrate methods of reducing traffic congestion in the central business district of Shreveport, Louisiana, improving access to such district, providing highway continuity, and satisfying national defense requirements by connecting an interstate route with another interstate route which serves as a bypass around such city.

(10) MIAMI, FLORIDA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project which will demonstrate the most cost effective method of improving interstate motor vehicle access for passengers and cargo moving to and from the port of Miami, Florida.

(11) ARKANSAS-MISSOURI.—

(A) BELLA VISTA, ARKANSAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in the State of Arkansas on a segment of a north-south highway on the Federal-aid primary system from the vicinity of the junction of Interstate Routes I-40 and I-540 to the boundary between the States of Arkansas and Missouri in the vicinity of Bella Vista, Arkansas, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety and of accelerating highway construction. Such project shall increase the number of lanes on such segment from 2 to 4.

(B) CARTHAGE, MISSOURI.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project on a segment of a north-south highway on the Federal-aid primary system from the vicinity of Carthage, Missouri, to the boundary between the States of Arkansas and Missouri in the vicinity of Noel, Missouri, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety and accelerating highway construction. Such project shall increase the number of lanes on such segment from 2 to 4.

(C) DESIGN FEATURES; TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The projects authorized by subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph shall also demonstrate the latest high-type geometric design features and new advances in highway traffic control and safety hardware. All design elements, including the highway pavement, shall be designed to provide the best life-cycle costs, thereby minimizing future maintenance costs. The Secretary shall provide necessary technical assistance in the design and construction of such projects.

(12) SANFORD, FLORIDA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate methods of reducing costs and

expediting construction of an interchange in the vicinity of Sanford, Florida, and the intersection of Route 46A and an interstate route by contracting with a private business to design and construct such project.

(13) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a demonstration project in the vicinity of San Jose and Santa Clara, California, for the purpose of demonstrating a unified method of reducing traffic congestion on a Federal-aid urban highway which is the result of the intersection of such highway with 2 other Federal-aid urban highways and a railroad crossing in a ¼-mile segment of such highway.

(14) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—

(A) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—The Secretary shall carry out a demonstration project in the vicinity of the C&O Canal in the District of Columbia for the purpose of substantially improving motor vehicle access at a major traffic generator without decreasing the efficiency of a Federal-aid primary highway. The Secretary shall enter into such arrangements as may be necessary to carry out such project with the Secretary of the Interior.

(B) LIMITATION.—No Federal assistance shall be provided to carry out the demonstration project under this paragraph until private sources dedicate 2.5 acres of land as a scenic easement for project purposes.

(15) COMPTON, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out highway projects for construction of grade separations on routes on the Federal-aid urban system in Compton, California, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of relieving traffic congestion and enhancing economic development.

(16) MODESTO, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway projects to demonstrate methods by which railroad relocation and construction of grade separations for railroad crossings of highways and streets enhances urban redevelopment of a planned transportation center in Modesto, California.

(17) COLUMBIA, MISSOURI.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project for construction of 2 additional lanes on a 2-lane 106-mile highway on the Federal-aid primary system which begins in the vicinity of Columbia, Missouri, and ends in the vicinity of Lancaster, Missouri, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety, reducing traffic congestion, and encouraging economic development.

(18) EAST MILTON, MASSACHUSETTS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to demonstrate the advantages of joint development and use of air rights in the construction of a deck over a depressed portion of an interstate route in East Milton, Massachusetts.

(19) FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA.—The Secretary, in cooperation with the State of Alabama, shall carry out a highway project in the vicinity of Fairhope and Foley, Alabama, to demonstrate methods of accelerating the widening of a highway traffic segment of highway on the Federal-aid primary system necessary for the rapid evacuation of individuals during emergency weather conditions.

(20) WILDER, KENTUCKY.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in the vicinity of Wilder in Campbell County, Kentucky, to demonstrate the economic benefits to a port facility, industrial complex, and foreign trade zone and methods of enhancing highway safety by reconstruction of a segment of a highway on the Federal-aid urban system which connects an interstate route with a port facility. Such project shall increase the number of lanes on such highway from 2 to 4 and may include realignment of such highway.

(21) JO DAVIESS, ILLINOIS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate the safety benefits of providing additional and improved vehicular passing opportunities on, adding truck climbing lanes to, and straightening, a 50-mile segment of an east-west highway on the Federal-aid primary system which carries a high volume of traffic in Jo Daviess and Stephenson Counties, Illinois.

(22) ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in the city of Allentown, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of accelerating construction to eliminate a major rail-highway crossing at grade, reducing traffic delays for both rail and motor vehicle traffic, and minimizing the impact on the surrounding urban environment.

(23) RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate methods of improving safety on a highway on the Federal-aid primary system in Riverside, California, which is designated as a priority primary route under section 147 of title 23, United States Code, by Committee Print Numbered 100–3 of the Committee on Public Works and Transportation of the House of Representatives.

(24) BUFFALO, NEW YORK.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in Buffalo, New York, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of facilitating redevelopment of a waterfront area by construction of a connector off a highway on the Federal-aid primary system. Upon completion of the project, the connector shall be added to the Federal-aid urban system.

(25) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to replace a ramp which provides access to an industrial area of Cleveland, Ohio, for the purpose of demonstrating the relationship between infrastructure improvement and economic vitality.

(26) PATTON ISLAND, ALABAMA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct a bridge to cross the Tennessee River in Lauderdale and Colbert Counties, Alabama, in the vicinity of Patton Island, Alabama, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway transportation and enhancing economic development.

(27) WOOD COUNTY, OHIO.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct an interchange connecting Interstate Route I–75 and a 4-lane, east-west highway in Perrysburg Township in Wood County, Ohio, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of reducing traffic congestion, improving traffic flow, and enhancing economic development.

(28) CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.—The Secretary shall carry out the following highway projects in Chicago, Illinois:

(A) A highway project to rehabilitate a drawbridge over the north branch of the Chicago River and realign an adjacent intersection which will demonstrate the use of the latest innovative bridge repair techniques on a bascule bridge.

(B) A highway project to remove and replace an existing bridge on Lake Shore Drive in the Jackson Park Historic Landscape District and the Midway Plaisance with a new bridge in the same location and to widen the approach road to such bridge which will demonstrate the historic recreation of a national register bridge and replacement of a deteriorated bridge.

(C) A highway project between Chicago Avenue and Claybourn Avenue to disinvest a bridge over Goose Island which will demonstrate methods of reducing municipal and Federal burdens for rehabilitation and maintenance of a surplus highway facility.

(29) WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—The Secretary shall carry out two road improvement projects in Wayne County, Michigan, to demonstrate the benefits of enhancing safety and improving economic vitality of a depressed area.

(30) COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—

(A) CHICAGO.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of utilizing a low cost alternative to reconstruction of a 1-mile segment of an east-west road between Nagle and Oak Park Avenues, Chicago, Illinois, which is deficient due to soil conditions.

(B) SOUTHWEST CHICAGO.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct three parking facilities adjacent to the Rock Island commuter rail lines in Southwest Chicago, Illinois, which will demonstrate the effectiveness of construction of parking facilities in relieving on-street parking congestion and unsafe parking practices.

(C) OAK LAWN.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in Oak Lawn, Illinois, which demonstrates methods of improving highway safety by widening and resurfacing a 4-lane major arterial with lane widths which are less than minimum State and Federal standards.

(D) VILLAGE OF ALSIP<sup>1</sup>.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of improving highway safety and access to a segment of the Interstate System by reconstruction of 127th Street between Illinois Route 83 and Kostner Avenue in Alsip, Illinois.

(E) CUMBERLAND STATION.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct the first level of a 2 level addition to an existing park and ride facility in the vicinity of Cumberland Station on the O'Hare Rapid Transit Line, Chicago, Illinois, which will demonstrate methods

<sup>1</sup>Section 329(a)(1) of P.L. 101-164 amended section 149(a)(30)(D) by striking out the heading "CALUMET PARK" and inserting "VILLAGE OF ALSIP". The amendment was executed despite the typeface being incorrect.

of reducing commuter traffic and traffic congestion and increasing utilization of available capacity on a rapid transit line.

(F) ELEVATED ROAD.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate the benefits of utilizing precast, prefabricated concrete structural segments in the reconstruction of an elevated road on a major artery in the southwestern portion of Chicago, Illinois, in order to minimize traffic disruption during the reconstruction.

(G) PARKING FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall carry out a demonstration project for the construction of two parking lots at sites (i) where future stations are to be located on the Southwest Rapid Transit Line in Chicago, Illinois, and (ii) to which buses now provide mass transit service. Such project shall be carried out before the beginning of service on such rapid transit line in order to demonstrate methods of facilitating the transfer of passengers between different modes of transportation and of establishing ridership before the opening of a rapid transit line.

(31) KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project on a north-south route on the Federal-aid primary system in Kansas City, Missouri, to demonstrate methods by which construction of the first and southern-most phase of a 5-phase highway project will facilitate construction of the full 5-phase project. Construction of the 5-phase project—

(A) will connect the northern terminus of another route on the Federal-aid primary system and an east-west interstate route,

(B) will demonstrate the interrelationship between construction of a major urban transportation artery and economic development initiatives in facilitating reinvestment in an urban area experiencing economic decay, and

(C) will demonstrate methods of reducing traffic congestion through construction of a roadway that is compatible with adjacent residential neighborhoods and commercial areas.

(32) MOUNT VERNON, KENTUCKY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project on a segment of the Federal-aid primary system which connects Interstate Route I-75 in the vicinity of Mount Vernon, Kentucky, with Kentucky State Route 80 in the vicinity of Shopville, Kentucky, for the purposes of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety and traffic flow and improving access to a national river and recreation area.

(33) PINE CITY, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in Pine City, Minnesota, to demonstrate methods of enhancing economic development and improving highway safety and traffic flow by construction of an interchange between a highway on the Interstate System and a county State-aid highway.

(34) PASO ROBLES, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in the city of Paso Robles, California, to construct a 2-lane, east-west bridge which will

span the Salinas River, a highway, and a railroad line and will be located south of the existing bridges spanning such river in such city, for the purposes of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety and traffic flow and enhancing economic development.

(35) SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project from Wheeler Road to Veterans Memorial Highway in the town of Islip, Suffolk County, New York, for the purpose of demonstrating construction techniques to accelerate upgrading of an existing highway to freeway standards with minimum disruption of traffic.

(36) CONNECTICUT.—

(A) SOUTHWINGTON.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate the latest construction techniques in reconstructing a north-south segment of highway on the Federal-aid urban system in the vicinity of Southington, Connecticut.

(B) KENT CENTER.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to change horizontal and vertical alignment of a north-south highway on the Federal-aid primary system south of Kent Center, Connecticut, to demonstrate methods of solving safety and flooding problems.

(37) DOVER TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to construct a bridge across the Toms River in the township of Dover, New Jersey, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of reducing traffic congestion on an existing bridge and facilitating the redevelopment of the central business district of such township.

(38) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in Los Angeles County, California, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving vehicular circulation related to the intermodal transportation of port-related traffic and alleviating congestion caused by increased port activities.

(39) GREATER PITTSBURGH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.—The Secretary shall carry out in the vicinity of the Greater Pittsburgh International Airport a highway project for construction of a highway which is designated as a priority primary route under section 147 of title 23, United States Code, by Committee Print Numbered 100-3 of the Committee on Public Works and Transportation of the House of Representatives to demonstrate methods of improving economic development and airport terminal placement.

(40) STEUBEN COUNTY, NEW YORK.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in Steuben County, New York, for the purpose of demonstrating the extent to which the economy of an industrialized high unemployment area can be improved by completion of key elements of a modern, grade-separated access controlled highway which serves such area.

(41) SONOMA AND MARIN COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA.—

(A) SANTA ROSA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project for the purpose of demonstrating the extent to which traffic congestion is relieved by reconstruction of a north-south arterial which (i) connects Santa

Rosa, California, and Petaluma, California, (ii) is parallel to a major north-south segment of the Federal-aid primary system, and (iii) serves as an alternative for traffic between such cities.

(B) HEALDSBURG.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project for the purpose of demonstrating the extent to which traffic congestion is relieved on the major north-south segment of the Federal-aid primary system described in subparagraph (A) by construction of high occupancy vehicle lanes along a right-of-way which is parallel to such segment and connects San Rafael, California, and Healdsburg, California.

(42) VOYAGEURS NATIONAL PARK, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of enhancing use of a national park and reducing traffic congestion by reconstruction of an access road to Voyageurs National Park, Minnesota.

(43) SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.—The Secretary, in cooperation with the State of Georgia, shall carry out a highway project for replacing an existing functionally obsolete bridge across the Savannah River in Savannah, Georgia, with a modern, high-level structure for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving safety and the free flow of both vehicular and waterborne traffic including traffic related to national defense.

(44) NEW SEWICKLY, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct a 2-lane highway between the township of New Sewickly, Pennsylvania, and the borough of Conway, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of accommodating increasing truck traffic and improving highway safety.

(45) CROYLE TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to upgrade a 1.3-mile access road to the Johnstown Flood National Memorial in the vicinity of Croyle Township, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving public access to a flood memorial.

(46) LAWRENCE, MASSACHUSETTS.—The Secretary shall carry out in Lawrence and Andover, Massachusetts, a highway project to demonstrate methods of enhancing the benefits of an economic rehabilitation project by providing access between an interstate route and Merrimack Street.

(47) LOUISIANA.—

(A) The Secretary shall carry out a highway project on the west bank of the Mississippi River in the vicinity of Port Allen, Louisiana, for the purpose of demonstrating methods by which—

(i) the inclusion of a diamond interchange on the Interstate System, including ingress and egress ramps with an overpass, located between the existing Mississippi River Bridge access and an existing rural interchange; and

(ii) the connection and improvement of access to the Interstate System by means of approaches from a

2-lane highway and a parish road to such diamond interchange;

will eliminate safety hazards and reduce heavy truck traffic congestion from the Mississippi River Bridge exit ramp on the Interstate System and the City of Port Allen and the Texas-Pacific Railroad crossing and improve conditions for access to the Port of Greater Baton Rouge and the Intracoastal Canal.

(B) The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in the vicinity of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, for the purpose of demonstrating the benefits of reducing traffic congestion in the immediate vicinity of a split-diamond interchange which connects an east-west highway on the Interstate System, 2 4-lane highways not on such system, and a 2-lane highway not on such system by providing—

(i) a direct exit lane from the westbound lanes of the highway on such system to one of such 4-lane highways;

(ii) a direct access ramp and acceleration lane from such 4-lane highway to the eastbound lanes of the highway on such system; and

(iii) a direct exit lane from the eastbound lanes of the highway on such system to the other of such 4-lane highways.

(C) The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in the vicinity of northeast Baton Rouge, Louisiana, for the purpose of demonstrating the efficacy of reducing traffic congestion and improving traffic flow in the immediate vicinity of a highway on the Interstate System to connect such highway to a metropolitan airport terminal access road by construction of a direct access off-ramp link.

(48) MINDEN, LOUISIANA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project for the purpose of demonstrating methods of enhancing economic development by construction of a frontage road which provides Minden, Louisiana, alternative access to a highway immediately connecting to a highway on the Interstate System.

(49) ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a project for research, development, and implementation of a computerized transportation management system to assist the city of Anaheim, California, and adjoining jurisdictions in managing highway traffic congestion caused in part by an interstate route passing through an area of concentrated population and commercial development for the purpose of demonstrating the usefulness of such a system in reducing traffic congestion.

(50) PINE BLUFF, ARKANSAS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway bridge project at Lock and Dam 4 near Pine Bluff, Arkansas.

(51) CLARKSVILLE, TENNESSEE.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate methods of improving highway safety by making improvements to a road providing direct access from the Fort Campbell Military Reservation to the city of Clarksville, Tennessee.

(52) CLARINDA, IOWA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to reconstruct and rehabilitate a highway between Shenandoah and Clarinda, Iowa, for the purpose of demonstrating methods by which improved highway transportation in an economically depressed rural area will increase economic activity in such area.

(53) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to expand a highway which connects an interstate route in the vicinity of Oceanside, California, with another interstate route in the vicinity of Escondido, California, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of reducing traffic congestion and accidents.

(54) SAINT CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to construct a bypass highway to connect an east-west interstate route in Saint Charles County, Missouri, with the interstate beltway around Saint Louis, Missouri, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of alleviating traffic congestion, especially commuter traffic congestion.

(55) JONESBORO, ARKANSAS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project for construction of 4 grade separations on a 4-lane bypass route in the vicinity of Jonesboro, Arkansas, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety.

(56) ILLINOIS.—

(A) MOUNT VERNON.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to reconstruct a segment of approximately 1.4 miles of a State route connecting to an interstate route in the vicinity of Mount Vernon, Illinois, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety.

(B) EVANSVILLE.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to upgrade a principal route through the village of Evansville, Illinois, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving traffic flow.

(C) UNION COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to improve a road leading to a landmark in the vicinity of the city of Alto Pass, Union County, Illinois, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving access to such a landmark and of enhancing tourism.

(57) CONCORD, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project between Concord, California, and West Pittsburg, California, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety and traffic flow by lowering the grade of, realigning, and widening an existing highway on the Federal-aid primary system.

(58) GEORGIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of improving highway safety and reducing traffic accidents by reconstruction of a 3.8-mile segment of highway between Interstate Route I-285 and the fork of Georgia State Route 141 as a 6-lane controlled access freeway with one-way frontage roads in each direction.

(59) PIKE COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to reconstruct a highway on the Federal-aid primary system between Open Fork Road and Road Fork of Big Creek Road in Pike County, Kentucky, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety in a mountainous area.

(60) MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate the economic growth and development benefits of reconstructing a segment of road in Madison County, Illinois, which serves a high-growth industrial area.

(61) ERWIN, TENNESSEE.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to extend, approximately 15 miles, a highway on the Appalachian development highway system between River View in Erwin, Tennessee, and Sam's Gap on the North Carolina-Tennessee border for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving transportation in a mountainous area.

(62) NEW RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a demonstration project to construct a parkway connecting to an interstate route, in accordance with the recommendations of the New River Parkway Authority, in the vicinity of the New River, West Virginia, for the purpose of demonstrating benefits to recreation, tourism, and industrial, economic, and community development.

(63) KITTANNING-BROOKVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for reconstruction of approximately 30 miles of a 2-lane road on the Federal-aid primary system between Kittanning and Brookville, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of demonstrating cost-effective methods of improving rural highways to accommodate wider and longer trucks.

(64) AURORA-HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for construction of a highway connecting Aurora-Hoyt Lakes and Silver Bay, Minnesota, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of reducing traffic congestion in and around a recreational area.

(65) KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of improving traffic flow in a rural area by reconstruction of the Chelyan Bridge in Kanawha County, West Virginia.

(66) ROANOKE SOUND, NORTH CAROLINA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of improving tourism, commercial enterprise, and water and highway transportation by construction of a bridge on an east-west Federal-aid primary route which connects Manteo and Whalebone, North Carolina, and traverses Roanoke Sound.

(67) LINCOLN, ILLINOIS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of improving highway safety and reducing traffic congestion by construction of a controlled access freeway which connects Interstate Route I-55 in the vicinity of Lincoln, Illinois, and Interstate Route I-74 in the vicinity of Morton, Illinois.

(68) SPARKS, NEVADA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates methods of improving eco-

conomic development and diversification, and eliminating traffic and highway safety hazards by construction in the city of Sparks, Nevada, of an interchange which connects Interstate Route I-80 and Sparks Boulevard.

(69) BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a project which demonstrates methods of coordinating construction of ground access to an airport. The Secretary shall carry out such project at the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport, California, by preparing a feasibility study and conducting preliminary engineering, design, and construction of a link between such airport and the commuter rail system that is being developed by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority.

(70) EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to increase the capacity of a tunnel in the vicinity of an airport serving El Segundo, California, which will demonstrate methods of mitigating increased traffic congestion which is projected to result from completion of a segment of the Interstate System.

(71) ALAMEDA ISLAND, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to demonstrate methods of improving access to, and alleviating congestion on, a north-south route designated as part of the Interstate System under section 139 of title 23, United States Code, and its access roads, including access roads from Oakland International Airport and Alameda Island, California, to such interstate route.

(72)<sup>1</sup> DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in Douglas County, Kansas, to demonstrate methods of reducing traffic congestion and facilitating the usage by motorists on the Interstate System of recreational facilities by construction of a limited access road of approximately 14 miles in length which, at its western terminus, will provide access from an east-west Interstate highway route to a reservoir and a university research park, will proceed easterly around the southern portion of the City of Lawrence and, at its eastern terminus, will provide access to a business park and a limited access east-west State highway.

(73) CHADVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project to relocate and reconstruct to 4 lanes a 3.5-mile north-south segment of the Federal-aid primary system from the vicinity of Uniontown Bypass at Chadville, Pennsylvania, to Pennsylvania Legislative Route 26082 in the vicinity of Fairchance, Pennsylvania, which will demonstrate methods of enhancing the development of a major industrial site.

(74) PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates how construction of an interchange on a north-south interstate route will provide access to Chambersburg, Pennsylvania, and relieve traffic congestion on an existing interchange on such interstate route and other projects in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Centre, Franklin, and Huntingdon, Pennsylvania.

<sup>1</sup>Margin so in law.

(75) BEAUMONT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project which demonstrates how construction of an overpass over an interstate route in the vicinity of the city of Beaumont, Texas, will relieve traffic congestion on such interstate route and provide direct access between the central business district of such city and another part of such city.

(76) SAINT LOUIS COUNTY, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project for the construction of an access road from County Road 413 in Saint Louis County, Minnesota, to a recreational complex on the Bois Forte Chippewa Reservation (Vermilion Sector) to demonstrate methods of providing jobs and enhancing economic development in a severely and chronically depressed area.

(77) GLOUCESTER COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Governor of Virginia, the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of the Interior, shall carry out site selection and environmental studies and design and engineering for replacement or expansion of a bridge connecting Gloucester County with York County and the cities of Newport News and Hampton, Virginia, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of facilitating the resolution of Federal intra-governmental conflicts.

(78) BRAZORIA COUNTY, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall carry out a highway project in Brazoria County, Texas, to demonstrate how the extension of a State highway to connect with another State highway can relieve traffic congestion in Fort Bend County, Texas.

(79) HAMMOND, INDIANA.—The Secretary shall enter into such arrangements as may be necessary to carry out a demonstration project in Hammond, Indiana, for the relocation of railroad lines for the purpose of eliminating railroad-highway grade crossings. If the city of Hammond, Indiana, elects to carry out all or any portion of the demonstration project authorized by this paragraph before the funds authorized to be appropriated to carry out this paragraph are made available, the Secretary shall reimburse with such funds the city for the costs of carrying out such project or portion.

(80) ERIE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in Erie County, New York, to demonstrate methods of enhancing safety and reducing traffic congestion and delays at the terminus of an interstate route by relocating the terminus of such route.

(81) TAMPA, FLORIDA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out (A) the remaining design work for a highway project for construction of a grade separation on a route on the Federal-aid primary system in the vicinity of Tampa, Florida, and (B) construction of such project, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving motor vehicle access between rapidly growing urban areas as well as relieving motor vehicle congestion resulting from the transportation of freight to and from areas for the transshipment of waterborne commerce.

(82) POST FALLS, IDAHO.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to reconstruct Seltice Way (former United States Route 10) to a multilane facility through the City of

Post Falls, Idaho, beginning at Pleasant View Road and ending at Huetter Road.

(83) BOISE, IDAHO.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a multilane highway of 6.5 miles, in Boise, Idaho, from the Curtis Road interchange to Broadway Avenue, including interchanges, intersections, bridges, elevated structures, and the Orchard Street connection to Chinden Boulevard.

(84) LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out—

(A) acquisition of right-of-way, grading, and construction of ramps and a double span bridge to carry State Road 26 over the Wabash River connecting the cities of Lafayette and West Lafayette, Indiana;

(B) acquisition of right-of-way, grading, construction of a 2.6-mile single track rail corridor, construction of a second rail span at the Wabash Avenue Overpass and transfer of Amtrak passenger services to a relocated depot facility at Second and Main Streets; and

(C) acquisition of right-of-way, grading, construction of ramps and two rail corridor overpasses and associated replacement street work to reconstruct the vehicular approach to the east end of Harrison Bridge which carries United States Route 231 over the Wabash River connecting the cities of Lafayette and West Lafayette.

(85) DUBUQUE-DEWITT, IOWA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project which replaces the route from the intersection of United States Route 61 and Grandview Avenue in Dubuque, Iowa, extending northerly to a point near East 14th Street, and to improve the service level of the remaining connection from Interstate Route I-80 to Dubuque extending from United States Route 30 at Dewitt to Grandview Avenue in Dubuque.

(86) OLATHE, KANSAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an interchange at 119th Street and Interstate Route I-35 in the City of Olathe, Kansas.

(87) LOUISIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to provide for an access road which parallels Interstate Route I-10 at Sulphur, Louisiana, in West Calcasieu Parish, in order to provide access to and from the Interstate System and access from Louisiana Highway 108 to Louisiana Highway 3077 and construction of roads and a bridge to provide access to the Rose Bluff industrial area, Lake Charles, Louisiana.

(88) SOUTHEAST BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project in southeast Baton Rouge, Louisiana, to widen off- and on-ramps of an interstate route interchange; to widen and improve approaches on both sides of the Interstate System of a 2-lane highway, including access ramps and turnouts; to construct a schoolbus loading area adjacent thereto; and to coordinate a partial relocation of a 2-lane highway not on such system.

(89) EAST LAFAYETTE AND LAKE CHARLES, LOUISIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a

full-diamond interchange to connect Louisiana Highway 354 to Interstate Route I-10 in East Lafayette, Louisiana and, of amounts made available to carry out this paragraph, may use up to \$456,022 to carry out a comprehensive transportation and land use plan for Lafayette, Louisiana, \$1,000,000 to carry out a project to construct an exit ramp from the eastbound side of Interstate Route 10 to Ryan Street in Lake Charles, Louisiana, and \$269,661 to carry out projects described in paragraph (90).

(90) EAST LAFAYETTE AND LAKE CHARLES, LOUISIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a full-diamond interchange to connect Louisiana Avenue to Interstate Route I-10 in East Lafayette, Louisiana and a project to construct the Contraband Bridge portion of the Nelson Access Road Project.

(91) BRUNSWICK, MAINE.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct the Brunswick-Topsham Bypass in Maine. The bypass will be a new limited access highway which will run from the vicinity of the interchange of Interstate Route I-95 and State Route 196 in Topsham, cross the Androscoggin River, and connect with United States Route 1 in Brunswick, Maine.

(92) WASHINGTON AND FREDERICK COUNTIES, MARYLAND.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project on United States Route 48 in Washington County, Maryland, to construct an eastbound ramp to United States Route 40 and a westbound access road from Mountain Road and to construct an interchange between Interstate Route 70 and Interstate Route 270 in Frederick County, Maryland.

(93) MARYLAND ROUTE 162.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to realign an intersection to tie Maryland Route 162 directly into Poplar Avenue. Such project includes—

(A) construction of additional lanes at the intersection to allow northbound Maryland Route 162 to westbound Poplar Avenue to become a through movement;

(B) widening 2 miles of Maryland Route 162 from Poplar Avenue to Maryland Route 176 to 4 lanes; and

(C) widening  $\frac{1}{2}$ -mile of Poplar Avenue from Maryland Route 170 to Maryland Route 162 to 4 lanes.

(94) ROUTE 4, MARYLAND.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to replace a bridge carrying Maryland Route 4 over the Patuxent River.

(95) ROUTE 3, MARYLAND.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an interchange to connect Maryland Route 3 and Belair Drive.

(96) ROUTE 197, MARYLAND.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a 4-lane divided highway to bypass Bowie, Maryland, from Rustic Hill Drive to south of the Amtrak line in Prince Georges County.

(97) MARYLAND ROUTE 115.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to relocate Maryland Route 115 from Montgomery Village Avenue to Shady Grove Road, Montgomery County. This project involves the construction of a 4-lane divided highway.

(98) MARYLAND ROUTE 213.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to rehabilitate the Chester River Bridge on Maryland Route 213 at Chestertown, Maryland.

(99) MARYLAND ROUTE 838.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to replace a bridge connecting Maryland Route 838 to the Wye Island natural resources management area.

(100) BELCHERTOWN, MASSACHUSETTS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a road of approximately 3,600 feet between Liberty Street and Massachusetts Route 21 in Belchertown, Massachusetts.

(101) MICHIGAN.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out—

(A) a project for construction of the United States Route 31 freeway in Mason County, Michigan, from the south county line northward 11.1 miles to United States Route 10;

(B) improvements, including road widening and resurfacing to existing United States Routes 10 and 31 from the United States Route 10-United States Route 31 interchange east to Scotville, Michigan; and

(C) improvements on United States Route 31 from Scotville north seventeen miles to Preuss Road in Manistee County, Michigan.

(102) BLOOMINGTON, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for the replacement of the Bloomington Ferry Bridge, located in Hennepin and Scott Counties, Minnesota.

(103) NEW AUGUSTA, MISSISSIPPI.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to widen 14.7 miles of United States Highway 98 from 1.5 miles east of United States Highway 49 in Forrest County, east to State Route 29 in New Augusta, Mississippi.

(104) HIGHWAY 30, NEBRASKA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to replace the bridge that carries Highway 30 over the Missouri River between Blair, Nebraska and Missouri Valley, Iowa.

(105) LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an interchange at Sahara Avenue and Interstate Route I-15, in the city of Las Vegas, Nevada.

(106) HENDERSON, NEVADA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to improve the Boulder Highway in Henderson, Nevada. The project involves 6.36 miles along United States Route 93/95 from the intersection of Sunset Road to the intersection of Horizon Drive.

(107) LOS ALAMOS-SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for a new route from Los Alamos, New Mexico to Santa Fe, New Mexico.

(108) LONG ISLAND EXPRESSWAY, NEW YORK.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a study to examine the feasibility of adding a fourth lane in each direction on Interstate Route I-495 in New York.

(109) NASSAU EXPRESSWAY, NEW YORK.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to extend the Nassau Expressway from Burnside Avenue to Broadway in New York.

(110) WESTCHESTER PARKWAY, NEW YORK.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project on the Westchester Parkway, New York, to widen the segment between the Hawthorne Interchange and Washburn Road, reconstruct the southbound lanes in the vicinity of Pleasantville Road, and reconstruct the Pleasantville Road Interchange.

(111) NORTH DAKOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out the following projects on access highways to public recreation areas on certain lakes and State parks in North Dakota in order to accommodate present and projected traffic density:

(A)(1)<sup>1</sup> MORTON COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to obtain easements for and construct an access road in Morton County FAS, Route 3020 from 11 miles south of Sweet Briar Lake, 1½ miles south of Fish Creek Lake, then easterly 8 miles to Morton County FAS Route 3047.

(2)<sup>1</sup> MORTON COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Morton County, FAS Route 3002 from 6 miles north of Crown Butte Road, then easterly 2 miles to North Dakota State Highway 1806.

(3)<sup>1</sup> MORTON COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Morton County, FAS Route 3039 from Sweet Briar Lake, north 7 miles to the Oliver County line.

(B)(1)<sup>2</sup> MERCER COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Mercer County, FAS Route 2927 from 4 miles north of Hazen, North Dakota; north 8 miles to Hazen Bay, Lake Sakakawea or from 4 miles north of Hazen, North Dakota; then 3 miles north and 6 miles east to intersection of N.D. 200 and Mercer County Route 37; then in a southeasterly direction approximately 10 miles to the north corporate limits of the City of Stanton, North Dakota.

(2)<sup>2</sup> MERCER COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Mercer County, County FAS Route 2927 from 4 miles north of Hazen, North Dakota north 8 miles to Hazen Bay, Lake Sakakawea or from 4 miles north of Hazen, North Dakota then 8 miles north to the intersection of North Dakota 200; then east to the intersection of North Dakota 200; then south 5 miles to Mercer County, Route 37; then in a southeasterly direction approximately 10 miles to the north corporate limits of the City of Stanton, North Dakota.

(3)<sup>2</sup> MERCER COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Mercer County, County FAS Route 2927 from 4 miles north of Hazen, North Dakota, north 8 miles to Hazen Bay, Lake Sakakawea, or 7

<sup>1</sup>Margin so in law and probably should be (A)(i) etc.

<sup>2</sup>Margin so in law and probably should be (B)(i) etc.

<sup>1</sup>Margin so in law.

<sup>2</sup>So in law. Probably should be “(1)” and have a paragraph (1) designation. See section 338

miles north of the junction with North Dakota 200 and 200 A; then east 3 miles, south 2 miles, east 2 miles, and south 3 miles to the north corporate limits of the City of Stanton, North Dakota.

(4)<sup>2</sup> MERCER COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Mercer County, County FAS Route 2927, from 4 miles north of Hazen, North Dakota, north 8 miles to Hazen Bay, Lake Sakakawea, or Knife River Indian Village Historic Site access road.

(C) RANSOM COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Ransom County, County FAS Route 3705 from State Highway 46, south 17 miles to State Highway 27 and a 1-mile spur to Fort Ransom State Park.

(D) BENSON AND RAMSEY COUNTIES.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Benson and Ramsey Counties FAS Route 0322 from United States Route 281 at Minnewaukan, east to Tri-County Park, north to State Highway 19.

(E) MOUNTRAIL COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Mountrail County from Parshall west 10 miles to Parshall Bay on Lake Sakakawea.

(F) EMMONS COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Emmons County FAS Route 1503 from Beaver Bay 13 miles west of Linton, south 23 miles to the South Dakota State line.

(G) MCKENZIE COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in McKenzie County from Charleson south and east 8 miles to Lake Sakakawea.

(H) GRAND FORKS COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Grand Forks County from 1 mile east of Larimore, north 5 miles to Larimore Dam recreation area.

(I) GRAND FORKS COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Grand Forks County from County Road 19, 4 miles south of Fordville, east and south 9 miles to Fordville Dam recreation area.

(J) STEELE COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Steele County State Highway 200, 9 miles east of Finley, north 9 miles to the Golden Lake recreation area.

(K) MCKENZIE COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in McKenzie County from United States Route 85 south of Williston, east 4.2 miles to several bays on Lake Sakakawea.

(L) BOTTINEAU AND RENVILLE COUNTIES.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Bottineau and Renville Counties, FAS Routes 3828 and 0526 from Lake Darling 1 mile west of Grano, east 10 miles.

(M) MOUNTRAIL COUNTY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an access road in Mountrail County, FAS Route 3123 from State Highway 23, 6 miles east of Newtown, south 2.5 miles to Van Hook Bay on Lake Sakakawea.

(112) GLADSTONE, NORTH DAKOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to improve access to a regional grain elevator, FAS Routes 2117 and 4531 from State Highway 21 at Regent, north 34 miles to Gladstone and Interstate Route I-94, Gladstone, North Dakota.

(113) EUGENE, OREGON.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a preliminary engineering study to plan and design alternatives to the Ferry Street Bridge in Eugene, Oregon.

(114) PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for United States Route 1 in Providence, Rhode Island, to make improvements on Allens Avenue and Eddy Street to add a center turning lane, widen the road, provide for shoulders, and improve safety on approximately 3 miles of road.

(115) WEST WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project on Wakefield Street in West Warwick, Rhode Island, to improve pavement surfaces, curbs, and sidewalks, to add drainage facilities, and to widen approximately 3.5 miles of such street.

(116) MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a new controlled access road from Interstate Route I-95 at Florence, South Carolina to United States Route 17, north of Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, including a connector from northwest of Conway, South Carolina, to United States Route 17, south of Myrtle Beach.

(117) KEYSTONE, SOUTH DAKOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct an additional lane on South Dakota Route 244 from Mount Rushmore National Memorial to the vicinity of Keystone.

(118) WEST TODD COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for grading and interim surfacing of United States Route 18 in South Dakota from the West Todd County line, east.

(119) IROQUOIS-DE SMET, SOUTH DAKOTA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project for grading and resurfacing United States Route 14 in South Dakota from Iroquois to De Smet.

(120) DALLAS, TEXAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct and upgrade 8.1 miles from Beltline Road in Dallas County to SR-121 in Collin County.

(121) BLUE RIDGE PARKWAY, VIRGINIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a 10-mile extension of the Blue Ridge Parkway to the Explore Project (a tourist destination located in the Roanoke Valley in western Virginia) to be designed and built by the National Park Service and to be transferred to and maintained by the Blue Ridge Parkway portion of the National Park Service.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FROM HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—There is authorized to be appropriated, out of the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) per fiscal year for each of fiscal years 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991 to carry out—

- (1) subsection (a)(1) \$5,000,000;
- (2) subsection (a)(2) \$2,000,000;
- (3) subsection (a)(3) \$1,100,000;
- (4) subsection (a)(4) \$850,000;
- (5) subsection (a)(5) \$500,000;
- (6) subsection (a)(6) \$550,000;
- (7) subsection (a)(7) \$2,900,000;
- (8) subsection (a)(8) \$9,000,000;
- (9) preliminary engineering and design under subsection (a)(9) \$600,000;
- (10) preliminary engineering and design under subsection (a)(10) \$1,030,000;
- (11)(A) preliminary engineering and design, utility relocation, land acquisition, and initial construction under subsection (a)(11)(A) \$4,500,000; and
- (B) preliminary engineering and design, utility relocation, land acquisition, and initial construction under subsection (a)(11)(B) \$4,500,000;
- (12) subsection (a)(12) \$1,400,000;
- (13) subsection (a)(13) \$2,900,000;
- (14) subsection (a)(14) \$800,000;
- (15) subsection (a)(15) \$750,000;
- (16) subsection (a)(16) \$1,300,000;
- (17) preliminary engineering and design, utility relocation, land acquisition, and initial construction under subsection (a)(17) \$1,100,000;
- (18) subsection (a)(18) \$350,000;
- (19) subsection (a)(19) \$2,100,000;
- (20) subsection (a)(20) \$900,000;
- (21) subsection (a)(21) \$300,000;
- (22) subsection (a)(22) \$600,000;
- (23) subsection (a)(23) \$800,000;
- (24) subsection (a)(24) \$1,250,000;
- (25) subsection (a)(25) \$800,000;
- (26) subsection (a)(26) \$1,500,000;
- (27) subsection (a)(27) \$2,000,000;
- (28) subsection (a)(28) \$1,200,000;
- (29) subsection (a)(29) \$220,000;
- (30) subsection (a)(30) \$1,208,000;
- (31) subsection (a)(31) \$1,500,000;
- (32) subsection (a)(32) \$1,400,000;
- (33) subsection (a)(33) \$260,000;
- (34) subsection (a)(34) \$310,000;
- (35) subsection (a)(35) \$975,000;
- (36) subsection (a)(36) \$385,000;
- (37) subsection (a)(37) \$200,000;
- (38) subsection (a)(38) \$7,400,000;
- (39) preliminary engineering and design under subsection (a)(39) \$550,000;

- (40) subsection (a)(40) \$800,000;
- (41)(A) subsection (a)(41) \$1,050,000; and
- (B) land acquisition under subsection (a)(41)(B) \$2,400,000;
- (42) subsection (a)(42) \$453,000;
- (43) subsection (a)(43) \$6,650,000;
- (44) subsection (a)(44) \$1,340,000;
- (45) subsection (a)(45) \$72,000;
- (46) subsection (a)(46) \$400,000;
- (47) subsection (a)(47) \$1,080,000;
- (48) subsection (a)(48) \$75,000;
- (49) subsection (a)(49) \$90,000;
- (50) subsection (a)(50) \$200,000;
- (51) subsection (a)(51) \$500,000;
- (52) subsection (a)(52) \$900,000;
- (53) subsection (a)(53) \$1,880,000;
- (54) preliminary engineering and design under subsection (a)(54) \$1,300,000;
- (55) subsection (a)(55) \$1,230,000;
- (56) subsection (a)(56) \$195,800;
- (57) subsection (a)(57) \$400,000;
- (58) subsection (a)(58) \$2,500,000;
- (59) subsection (a)(59) \$1,500,000;
- (60) subsection (a)(60) \$220,000;
- (61) subsection (a)(61) \$2,000,000;
- (62) subsection (a)(62) \$1,760,000;
- (63) subsection (a)(63) \$1,000,000;
- (64) subsection (a)(64) \$150,000;
- (65) preliminary engineering and design under subsection (a)(65) \$200,000;
- (66) subsection (a)(66) \$1,800,000;
- (67) subsection (a)(67) \$3,400,000;
- (68) subsection (a)(68) \$1,170,000;
- (69) subsection (a)(69) \$600,000;
- (70) subsection (a)(70) \$300,000;
- (71) preliminary engineering and design, environmental analysis, and implementation of environmental mitigation measures under subsection (a)(71) \$800,000;
- (72) subsection (a)(72) \$900,000;
- (73) subsection (a)(73) \$900,000;
- (74) subsection (a)(74) \$500,000;
- (75) subsection (a)(75) \$600,000;
- (76) subsection (a)(76) \$100,000;
- (77) subsection (a)(77) \$400,000;
- (78) subsection (a)(78) \$300,000;
- (79) subsection (a)(79) \$563,000;
- (80) subsection (a)(80) \$800,000;
- (81) subsection (a)(81) \$1,370,000;
- (82) subsections (a)(82) and (a)(83) \$2,300,000;
- (83) subsection (a)(84) \$4,000,000;
- (84) subsection (a)(85) \$4,000,000;
- (85) subsection (a)(86) \$2,600,000;
- (86) subsection (a)(87) \$590,000;
- (87) subsection (a)(88) \$520,000;
- (88) subsection (a)(89) \$250,000;

- (89) subsection (a)(90) \$250,000;  
 (90) subsection (a)(91) \$3,000,000;  
 (91) subsection (a)(92) \$56,000;  
 (92) subsection (a)(93) \$310,000;  
 (93) subsection (a)(94) \$258,000;  
 (94) subsection (a)(95) \$862,000;  
 (95) subsection (a)(96) \$540,000;  
 (96) subsection (a)(97) \$856,000;  
 (97) subsection (a)(98) \$398,000;  
 (98) subsection (a)(99) \$260,000;  
 (99) subsection (a)(100) \$100,000;  
 (100) subsection (a)(101) \$4,000,000;  
 (101) subsection (a)(102) \$3,240,000;  
 (102) subsection (a)(103) \$2,058,000;  
 (103) subsection (a)(104) \$540,000;  
 (104) subsection (a)(105) \$900,000;  
 (105) subsection (a)(106) \$600,000;  
 (106) subsection (a)(107) \$4,000,000;  
 (107) subsection (a)(108) \$200,000;  
 (108) subsection (a)(109) \$600,000;  
 (109) subsection (a)(110) \$800,000;  
 (110)(A) subsection (a)(111)(A) \$180,000;  
 (B) subsection (a)(111)(B) \$120,000;  
 (C) subsection (a)(111)(C) \$300,000;  
 (D) subsection (a)(111)(D) \$370,000;  
 (E) subsection (a)(111)(E) \$280,000;  
 (F) subsection (a)(111)(F) \$590,000;  
 (G) subsection (a)(111)(G) \$80,000;  
 (H) subsection (a)(111)(H) \$100,000;  
 (I) subsection (a)(111)(I) \$80,000;  
 (J) subsection (a)(111)(J) \$160,000;  
 (K) subsection (a)(111)(K) \$80,000;  
 (L) subsection (a)(111)(L) \$160,000; and  
 (M) subsection (a)(111)(M) \$60,000;  
 (111) subsection (a)(112) \$810,000;  
 (112) subsection (a)(113) \$250,000;  
 (113) subsection (a)(114) \$400,000;  
 (114) subsection (a)(115) \$380,000;  
 (115) subsection (a)(116) \$4,000,000;  
 (116) subsection (a)(117) \$300,000;  
 (117) subsection (a)(118) \$930,000;  
 (118) subsection (a)(119) \$754,000;  
 (119) subsection (a)(120) \$4,000,000; and  
 (120) subsection (a)(121) \$1,500,000.

(c) MINIMUM ALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If the total amount authorized for projects in a State in a fiscal year under subsection (b) is less than \$829,060, the Secretary shall allocate an additional amount to such State for such fiscal year. The additional amount shall be an amount which, when added to the total amount authorized for projects in such State for such fiscal year under subsection (b), equals \$829,060.

(2) USE OF FUNDS.—Subject to subsections (d) and (e), amounts allocated under paragraph (1) shall be available to a State to carry out any project on a Federal-aid system.

(3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated out of the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$12,200,000 per fiscal year for each of fiscal years 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991 to carry out this subsection.

(d) AMOUNTS AVAILABLE FROM DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.—

(1) DETERMINATION OF AMOUNT FOR EACH PROJECT.—For each project authorized by subsection (a), the Secretary shall make available in any fiscal year an amount equal to 60 percent of the amount authorized for such project for such fiscal year by subsection (b). For each project constructed by a State with funds allocated under subsection (c), the Secretary shall make available to such State for such project an amount equal to 60 percent of the amount of such funds used for such project.

(2) AMOUNTS DERIVED FROM DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.—The amounts required to carry out paragraph (1) shall be derived from the discretionary funds described in paragraph (3). For each of fiscal years 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991, the Secretary shall reserve from each such discretionary fund an amount equal to—

(A) the total required to carry out paragraph (1) for such fiscal year, multiplied by

(B) a fraction, the numerator of which is the amount available to be distributed at the discretion of the Secretary for such fiscal year from such discretionary fund, and the denominator of which is the amount available to be distributed at the discretion of the Secretary for such fiscal year from all such discretionary funds.

(3) DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.—The discretionary funds referred to in paragraph (2) are the funds available to be distributed at the discretion of the Secretary under—

(A) section 103(e)(4) of title 23, United States Code, for highway assistance projects;

(B) section 118(b) of such title;

(C) section 118(c) of such title; and

(D) section 144(g) of such title;

except that such discretionary funds shall not include the funds available under section 118(b) of such title in fiscal year 1987.

(4) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS.—A provision of title 23, United States Code, shall only apply to amounts to be obligated under this subsection to the extent that the Secretary determines that application of such provision is consistent with this section.

(e) STATE SHARE.—

(1) GENERAL RULE.—A State in which a project authorized by subsection (a) is located, or which constructs a project with funds received under an allocation under subsection (c), shall provide for such project an amount equal to 40 percent of the amount authorized for such project or the amount provided for

such project from such allocation. Such amount shall be provided from non-Federal sources.

(2) LOCAL GOVERNMENT OPTION.—Any portion of the State share under this subsection and subsection (f) may be provided by a political subdivision of the State, at the election of such political subdivision.

(f) ADDITIONAL FUNDS.—

(1) GENERAL RULE.—If amounts provided under subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e) of this section are not sufficient to complete a project authorized by subsection (a), a State may use any funds apportioned or allocated to the State for Federal-aid highways (other than interstate construction, highway-railway crossings, and hazard elimination funds) and any State funds to complete such project.

(2) FEDERAL SHARE.—If Federal-aid highway funds are used to complete a project pursuant to this subsection, the use of such funds shall be subject to the appropriate Federal share applicable with respect to such class of funds under title 23, United States Code.

(g) DELEGATION TO STATES.—Subject to the provisions of title 23, United States Code, the Secretary shall delegate responsibility for construction of a project or projects under this section (other than subsection (k)) to the State in which such project or projects are located upon request of such State.

(h) ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION.—When a State which has been delegated responsibility for construction of a project under this section (other than subsection (k))—

(1) has obligated all funds allocated under this section for construction of such project; and

(2) proceeds to construct such project without the aid of Federal funds in accordance with all procedures and all requirements applicable to such project, except insofar as such procedures and requirements limit the State to the construction of projects with the aid of Federal funds previously allocated to it;

the Secretary, upon the approval of the application of a State, shall pay to the State the Federal share of the cost of construction of the project when additional funds are allocated for such project under this section.

(i) APPLICABILITY OF TITLE 23.—Funds authorized by this section shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if such funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of any project under this section shall be determined in accordance with this section and such funds shall remain available until expended. Funds authorized by subsections (b) and (c) shall not be subject to any obligation limitation. 50 percent of the funds allocated under subsections (b) and (d) to carry out subsection (a)(104) shall be allocated to the State of Nebraska, and the other 50 percent of such funds shall be allocated to the State of Iowa.

(j) REPORTS.—

(1) STATUS REPORTS.—Not later than January 31 of calendar years 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991, the Secretary shall

submit to Congress a report on the status of the projects authorized by this section.

(2) PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—Not later than 180 days after completion of the demonstration project under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall submit a report to Congress on the results of such project (including the timesavings), along with a description of the procedures used to accelerate design and construction of such project, a summary of the manner in which the techniques used in carrying out such project in an urban area differed from the techniques used in the demonstration project carried out under section 141 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1976 in a rural area, and an analysis of the costs and benefits of the accelerated completion of the project conducted under such paragraph (1).

(3) ALTOONA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Not later than 1 year, 6 years, and 11 years after the completion of the state of the art delineation technology project under subsection (a)(8), the Secretary shall submit reports to the Congress, including but not limited to the results of such project, the effects of using the best delineation technology on safety and other considerations, recommendations for applying the results to other highway projects, and any changes that may be necessary by law to permit further use of such delineation techniques.

(4) ARKANSAS-MISSOURI.—Not later than 1 year, 6 years, and 11 years after the completion of the projects under subsection (a)(11), the Secretary shall submit reports to the Congress, including but not limited to the results of such projects, the effects of using design features and advances described in such paragraph on safety and other considerations, recommendations for applying the results to other highway projects, and any changes that may be necessary by law to permit further use of such features and advances.

(5) LIMITATION ON FUNDING.—The cost of any reports required by this subsection (other than status reports under paragraph (1) with respect to a project) shall be paid for with funds made available under subsection (b) of this section for such project.

(k) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—

(1) PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS.—

(A) DRY RIDGE, KENTUCKY.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project to demonstrate methods of improving traffic flow and safety on a portion of a Kentucky State highway which connects an interstate route in the vicinity of Dry Ridge, Kentucky, with a highway on the Federal-aid primary system in the vicinity of Owenton, Kentucky.

(B) ISLE OF PALMS, SOUTH CAROLINA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project connecting the Isle of Palms, South Carolina, to the mainland for the purpose of demonstrating the reduction in traffic congestion, improved emergency preparedness, and increased accessibility to a sea island by construction of a high-level fixed span bridge over a high-volume intracoastal waterway segment.

(C) IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out the United States Route 20/26 Highway Project, located on United States Route 20/26 and United States Route 20 between the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory site and the city of Idaho Falls, Idaho.

(D) LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out work on United States 70 in the State of New Mexico from Las Cruces, New Mexico to Texico, New Mexico.

(E) LAWRENCE, KANSAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out, in Lawrence, Kansas, a bypass project which is a model for its cost-sharing arrangement and economic development goals.

(F) WICHITA, KANSAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out, in Wichita, Kansas, the replacement of a conventional intersection of 2 heavily-travelled streets at Kellogg and Oliver with a new low-cost European fly-over design for the interchange.

(G) EMPORIA, KANSAS.—The Secretary is authorized to construct, in Emporia, Kansas, a new Prairie Street overpass to overcome existing flood conditions.

(H) SOUTH CAROLINA.—The Secretary is authorized to construct the South Carolina portion of the Bobby Jones Expressway bypass from I-20 near North Augusta, South Carolina, south across the Savannah River into Georgia, where it connects with I-520.

(I) FORT WORTH, TEXAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out reconstruction and widening of a critical interchange (“West Leg”) involving major, heavily traveled east-west and north-south interstate highways (I-30 and I-35, respectively), Fort Worth, Texas.

(J) EBSENBERG, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out and construct the Ebsenberg Pennsylvania bypass to divert traffic from Route 219 in Ebsenberg, Pennsylvania, to a 5.1 mile relocated segment.

(K) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out the restoration of the Martin Luther King bridge connecting the metro east area in Illinois and St. Louis, Missouri.

(L) IOWA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out the construction of a bridge on United States Route 30 in Iowa to replace a 56-year-old structure which is too narrow to be utilized by motor carriers.

(M) EAST CHICAGO, INDIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to construct the Cline Avenue—I-94 Interchange in East Chicago, Indiana.

(N) EAST CHICAGO, INDIANA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out the Cline Avenue Interchange improvement project in East Chicago, Indiana, for the reconstruction of an intersection of Cline Avenue and the Borman Expressway.

(O) TEXARKANA, TEXAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in the United States Route

59 highway corridor in Texas, from Texarkana to Houston to Beeville.

(P) SOMERSET, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a study to determine the feasibility of constructing a 4-lane highway out of a 2-lane segment of Route 219 between Somerset, Pennsylvania, and the border of the State of Maryland.

(Q) JOHNSTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a study to determine the feasibility of constructing a 4-lane highway out of a 2-lane segment that connects Route 56, near Johnstown, Pennsylvania, to Route 22.

(R) PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a study to determine the feasibility of making Route 22 between Ebensburg and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, completely 4-lane.

(S) EXTON, PENNSYLVANIA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a project to construct a bypass of approximately 4.9 miles parallel to Route 30, to divert motor traffic around the city of Exton, Pennsylvania.

(T) BELLA VISTA, ARKANSAS.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a highway project in the State of Arkansas on a segment of a north-south highway on the Federal-aid primary system from the vicinity of the junction of Interstate Routes I-40 and I-540 to the boundary between the States of Arkansas and Missouri in the vicinity of Bella Vista, Arkansas, for the purpose of demonstrating methods of improving highway safety and of accelerating highway construction. Such project shall increase the number of lanes on such segment from 2 to 4.

(U)<sup>1</sup> EASTPORT TO HOMEDALE, IDAHO.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out work on the United States Route 95 highway in the State of Idaho from Eastport, Idaho, to Homedale, Idaho.

(V)<sup>1</sup> UNITED STATES ROUTE 23 AND THE CHARLOTTE OUTER LOOP IN NORTH CAROLINA.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out highway projects in the State of North Carolina—

(i) from the interchange of Interstate Routes 26, 40, and 240 in Asheville, North Carolina to the border of the State of Tennessee, and

(ii) from Interstate Route 77S east to Interstate Route 85N of the Charlotte Outer Loop.

(2) FUNDING.—A State may use any amount apportioned for fiscal year 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, or 1991 under section 104 (other than subsection (b)(5)(A)) or section 144 of title 23, United States Code, to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection.

(3) FEDERAL SHARE.—If Federal-aid highway funds are used to complete a project pursuant to this subsection, the use of such funds shall be subject to the appropriate Federal share applicable with respect to such class of funds under title 23, United States Code.

(1)<sup>2</sup> REQUEST FOR REALLOCATION.—If, in any fiscal year amounts allocated to the State of Nevada under subsections (b) and (d) to carry out subsection (a)(68), (a)(105), or (a)(106), are not suf-

**Sec. 151 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND UNIFORM RELOCATION ASS... 34**

ficient to complete any project authorized by such subsections, such State may request the Secretary to reallocate all or any portion of such funds for another of such projects.

(2) GRANTING OF REQUESTS.—The Secretary shall grant a request made under paragraph (1) if the respective local officials having jurisdiction over the area in which the concerned projects are located consent to such request.

(3) ADJUSTMENT OF ALLOCATION.—If any funds allocated for a project are reallocated to another project pursuant to this subsection, the amount of funds allocated for such projects in succeeding fiscal years shall be adjusted so that the aggregate amount of funds allocated for each of such projects under this section for fiscal years 1987 through 1991 is equal to the aggregate amount of funds allocated for such projects for such fiscal years by subsections (b) and (d) of this section.

The<sup>2</sup> State of North Dakota may elect to utilize the total amount of funds authorized for such State under section 149 (b) and (d) in any given year for any project or projects in the State of North Dakota as authorized under section 149. The total amount of Federal funds obligated for any project under section 149 shall not exceed the total 5 year authorization for such project.

\* \* \* \* \*

**SEC. 151. DELAWARE RIVER BRIDGES.**

(a) REPAYMENT OF FEDERAL FUNDS INVESTED ON I-80 BRIDGE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “Commission”), in conjunction with the State highway agencies of the States of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary to repay to the Treasury of the United States any Federal funds which previously have been obligated or otherwise expended by the Federal Government with respect to the Delaware Water Gap Bridge on I-80. Such repayment shall be credited to the Highway Trust Fund.

(2) EFFECT OF REPAYMENT.—Upon such repayment, such States and the Commission shall be free of all restrictions contained in title 23, United States Code, and any regulation or agreement thereunder, with respect to the collection or imposition of tolls or other charges for such bridge or the use thereof.

(b) AGREEMENT TO CONSTRUCT I-78 TOLL BRIDGE.—If the State of Pennsylvania, the State of New Jersey, and the Commission determine to operate the uncompleted bridge under construction in the vicinity of Easton, Pennsylvania, and Phillipsburg, New Jersey, on I-78 as a toll bridge, such States, the Commission, and the Secretary shall enter into an agreement with respect to such I-78 bridge project as provided in section 129 of title 23, United States Code, notwithstanding the requirements of section 301 of such title or any existing agreement.

(c) RIGHT OF REVIEW BY FEDERAL AGENCIES.—The Commission’s authority to fix, charge or collect any fees, rentals, tolls, or

<sup>2</sup>So in law. Probably should be designated as subsection “(m)”. See section 342 of Pub. L. 101-202 (101 Stat. 1329-387).

other charges shall be as provided in its compact and supplements thereto (including the supplemental agreement described in subsection (e)); except that paragraph (c) of such supplemental agreement shall not be construed to eliminate the necessity for review and approval by any Federal agency, as may be required under applicable Federal law, to determine that the tolls charged by the Commission are reasonable and just consistent with the Commission's responsibilities under such compact and supplements thereto.

(d) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) NO TOLLS ON EXISTING NONTOLL BRIDGES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to grant congressional consent to the imposition of tolls by the Commission on any existing and operating bridge under the Commission's jurisdiction on which tolls were not charged and collected on January 1, 1986.

(2) NONAPPLICABILITY TO I-895 CORRIDOR.—Nothing in this section shall constitute congressional approval to construct any additional toll bridge in the previously designated I-895 corridor.

(e) SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT.—

(1) CONSENT OF CONGRESS.—The consent of the Congress is hereby given to the supplemental agreement, described in paragraph (2), concerning the Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, which agreement has been enacted by the State of Pennsylvania on December 18, 1984, as Act 206, laws of 1984, and by the State of New Jersey on October 21, 1985, as Public Law 1985, chapter 342.

(2) DESCRIPTION OF AGREEMENT.—The agreement referred to in paragraph (1) reads substantially as follows:

“SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA AND THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY

“SUPPLEMENTING THE COMPACT OR AGREEMENT ENTITLED ‘AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA AND THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY CREATING THE DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL BRIDGE COMMISSION AS A BODY CORPORATE AND POLITIC AND DEFINING ITS POWERS AND DUTIES, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTED, TO ESTABLISH THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE COMMISSION MAY FIX, CHARGE, AND COLLECT TOLLS, RATES, RENTS, AND OTHER CHARGES FOR THE USE OF COMMISSION FACILITIES AND PROPERTIES’

“The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the State of New Jersey do solemnly covenant and agree, each with the other, as follows:

“(a)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of the compact hereby supplemented, or any provision of law, State or Federal to the contrary, as soon as the existing outstanding bonded indebtedness of the commission shall be refunded, defeased, retired, or otherwise satisfied and thereafter, the commission may fix, charge, and collect tolls, rates, rents, and other charges for the use of any commission facility or property and in addition to any purpose now or heretofore or hereafter authorized for which the revenues from

such tolls, rates, rents, or other charges may be applied, the commission is authorized to apply or expend any such revenue for the management, operation, maintenance, betterment, reconstruction, or replacement (A) of the existing non-toll bridges, formerly toll or otherwise, over the Delaware River between the State of New Jersey and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania heretofore acquired by the commission pursuant to the provisions of the act of the State of New Jersey approved April 1, 1912 (Chapter 297), and all supplements and amendments thereto, and the act of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania approved May 8, 1919 (Pamphlet Laws 148), and all supplements and amendments thereto, and (B) of all other bridges within the commission's jurisdiction and control. Betterment shall include but not be limited to parking areas for public transportation services and all facilities appurtenant to approved projects.

"(2) The commission may borrow money or otherwise incur indebtedness and provide from time to time for the issuance of its bonds or other obligations for one or more of the purposes authorized in this supplemental agreement. The commission is authorized to pledge its tolls, rates, rents, and other revenues, or any part thereof, as security for the repayment, with interest, of any moneys borrowed by it or advanced to it for any of its authorized purposes, and as security for the satisfaction of any other obligation assumed by it in connection with such loan or advances.

"(3) The authority of the commission to fix, charge, and collect fees, rentals, tolls or any other charges on the bridges within its jurisdiction, including the bridge at the Delaware Water Gap, is confirmed.

"(4) The covenants of the State of New Jersey and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as set forth in Article VI of the compact to which this is a supplemental agreement shall be fully applicable to any bonds or other obligations issued or undertaken by the commission. Notwithstanding Article VI or any other provision of the compact, the State of New Jersey and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania may construct a bridge across the Delaware River in the vicinity of Easton, Pennsylvania, and Phillipsburg, New Jersey, within ten miles of the existing toll bridge at that location. All the rest and remainder of the compact, as amended or supplemented, shall be in full force and effect except to the extent it is inconsistent with this supplemental agreement.

"(b) The commission is authorized to fix, charge, or collect fees, rentals, tolls, or any other charges on the proposed bridge to be constructed in the vicinity of Easton, Pennsylvania, and Phillipsburg, New Jersey, in the same manner and to the same extent that it can do so for other toll bridges under its jurisdiction and control: *Provided*, That the United States Government has approved the bridge to be a part of the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways with 90 per centum of the cost of construction to be contributed by the United States Government: *And provided further*, That the non-Federal share of such bridge project is contributed by the commission. The commission is further authorized in the same manner and to the same extent that it can do so for all the other toll bridges under its jurisdiction and control to fix, charge, and collect fees, rentals, tolls or any other charges on any

other bridge within its jurisdiction and control if such bridge has been constructed in part with Federal funds.

“(c) The consent of Congress to this compact shall constitute Federal approval of the powers herein vested in the commission and shall also constitute authority to the United States Department of Transportation or any successor agency and the intent of Congress to grant and Federal approvals required hereunder to permit the commission to fix, charge, and collect fees, rentals, tolls, or any other charges on the bridges within its jurisdiction to the extent provided in subsections (a) and (b) and this subsection and the compact.

“(d) Notwithstanding the above provisions, the commission shall not fix, charge, or collect fees, rentals, tolls, or any other charges on any of the various bridges formerly toll or otherwise over the Delaware River between the State of New Jersey and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania heretofore acquired by the commission pursuant to the provisions of the act of the State of New Jersey approved April 1, 1912 (chapter 297), and all supplements and amendments thereto, and the act of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania approved May 8, 1919 (Pamphlet Laws 148), and all supplements and amendments thereto.

“(e) At any time that the commission shall be free of all outstanding indebtedness, the State of New Jersey and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania may, by the enactment of substantially similar acts, require the elimination of all tolls, rates, rents, and other charges on all bridges within the commission’s jurisdiction and control and, thereafter, all costs and charges in connection with the construction, management, operation, maintenance, and betterment of bridges within the jurisdiction and control of the commission shall be the financial responsibility of the States as provided by law.”.

**SEC. 152. PROHIBITION ON WIDENING CERTAIN ROUTES THROUGH HISTORIC DISTRICT.**

None of the funds authorized by this Act or any other Act or any amendment made by this Act may be obligated for a project to widen any State route through the historic district of the village of Hudson, Ohio, or for a project to construct an alternative or bypass route for such a route within 1 mile of such historic district, unless specifically approved by the village council of the village of Hudson, Ohio.

**SEC. 153. URBAN HIGH DENSITY PROGRAM.**

Of amounts available under the urban high density program, \$2,806,675 is rescinded. \$2,806,675 shall be made available out of the Highway Trust Fund by the Secretary for reconstruction of an interchange on an urban high density project designated in the State of Indiana in accordance with section 146 of title 23, United States Code (as such section was in effect on August 13, 1973).

**SEC. 154. SIGNS IDENTIFYING FUNDING SOURCES.**

If a State has a practice of erecting on projects under actual construction without Federal-aid highway assistance signs which indicate the source or sources of any funds used to carry out such projects, such State shall erect on all projects under actual construction with any funds made available out of the Highway Trust

**Sec. 155 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND UNIFORM RELOCATION ASS... 38**

Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) signs which are visible to highway users and which indicate each governmental source of funds being used to carry out such federally assisted projects and the amount of funds being made available by each such source.

[23 U.S.C. 101 note]

**SEC. 155. SALVAGE OPERATION.**

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the State of Massachusetts is required—

- (1) to assist and coordinate the salvaging of the foundation and associated structures of the historic Great House in City Square, Charlestown, Massachusetts;
- (2) to store the salvaged material during the depression and reconstruction of an interstate highway in Charlestown, Massachusetts; and
- (3) to assist and coordinate the incorporation of the Great House’s foundation and related structures into the reconstruction of City Square at Charlestown, Massachusetts.

\* \* \* \* \*

**TITLE III—FEDERAL MASS TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1987**

**SEC. 338. MULTI-YEAR CONTRACT FOR METRO RAIL PROJECT.**

(a) SUPPLEMENTAL EIS.—Not later than 10 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Secretary shall begin the preparation of a supplemental environmental impact statement necessary as a result of alignment changes within the Minimum Operable Segment-2 portion of the Downtown Los Angeles to San Fernando Valley Metro Rail Project. The Secretary shall publish a notice of the completion of the final supplemental environmental impact statement in the Federal Register. If the Secretary has not published such notice within 5 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall report to the Committee on Public Works and Transportation of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate on the status of the completion of such final supplemental environmental impact statement. The Secretary shall continue to report to those committees every 30 days on the status of the completion of the final supplemental environmental impact statement, including any proposed revisions to the statement, until a notice of the completion of such statement is published in the Federal Register.

(b) AMENDMENT TO EXISTING CONTRACT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, not later than 30 days after the publication of a notice of completion of a final supplemental environmental impact statement under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

- (1) issue a record of decision which approves the construction of the locally preferred Minimum Operable Segment-2 alternative, and

(2) execute an amendment to the existing full-funding contract under section 3 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 with the Southern California Rapid Transit District (or its successor) for the construction of Minimum Operable Segment-1 of such project, in order to include the construction of such Minimum Operable Segment-2 alternative in such contract.

(c) PAYMENT OF FEDERAL SHARE.—

(1) FEDERAL SHARE.—The amended contract under subsection (b) shall provide that the Federal share of the cost of construction of the Minimum Operable Segment-1 portion of the Downtown Los Angeles to San Fernando Valley Metro Rail Project shall be \$605,300,000 and that the Federal share of the cost of construction of the Minimum Operable Segment-2 portion of such project shall be \$667,000,000.

(2) PAYMENT.—The amended contract under subsection (b) shall provide that the Federal share of the cost of such project shall be paid by the Secretary from amounts provided under section 3 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 for construction of new fixed guideway systems and extensions to fixed guideway systems, as follows:

(A) not to exceed \$107,900,000 for fiscal year 1987;

(B) not to exceed \$300,000,000 for fiscal years 1987 and 1988;

(C) not to exceed \$490,000,000 for fiscal years 1987, 1988, and 1989;

(D) not to exceed \$680,000,000 for fiscal years 1987, 1988, 1989 and 1990; and

(E) not to exceed \$870,000,000 for fiscal years 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, and 1991.

(d) ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION.—

(1) UNDER THE CONTRACT.—The amended contract under subsection (b) shall provide that the Southern California Rapid Transit District (or successor) may construct any portion of the Downtown Los Angeles to San Fernando Valley Metro Rail Project in accordance with section 3(l) of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, except that such district (or successor) shall not be required to apply to and receive approval of the Secretary before carrying out any such construction.

(2) ON MOS-1 BEFORE EXECUTION OF CONTRACT.—At any time after the date of the enactment of this section, the Southern California Rapid Transit District (or successor) may construct any portion of the Minimum Operable Segment-1 portion of such project in accordance with section 3(l) of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, except that such district (or successor) shall not be required to apply to and receive approval of the Secretary before carrying out any such construction.

(3) REIMBURSEMENT SCHEDULE.—The amended contract under subsection (b) shall provide that the Secretary shall reimburse the Southern California Rapid Transit District (or successor), from any amounts provided under section 3 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 for fiscal years 1992 through 1994, for the Federal share of the net project costs in-

**Sec. 338 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION AND UNIFORM RELOCATION ASS... 40**

curred by such district (or successor) under paragraphs (1) and (2) (including the amount of any interest earned and payable on bonds as provided in section 3(1)(2) of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964), as follows:

(A) not later than September 30, 1992, the Secretary shall reimburse such district (or successor) a total of \$467,100,000 (plus such interest), less amounts provided under subsection (c)(2) for fiscal years 1988 through 1990;

(B) not later than September 30, 1993, the Secretary shall reimburse such district (or successor) a total of \$622,100,000 (plus such interest), less amounts provided under subsection (c)(2) for fiscal years 1988 through 1991; and

(C) not later than September 30, 1994, the Secretary shall reimburse such district (or successor) a total of \$762,100,000 (plus such interest), less amounts provided under subsection (c)(2) for fiscal years 1988 through 1991.

(4) DELAYS IN PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL EIS.—If the Secretary does not publish a notice of the completion of the final supplemental environmental impact statement in the Federal Register under subsection (a) on or before September 30, 1988, each date or year listed in paragraph (3) of this subsection shall be delayed one year. For each full year after such date in which such notice is not published, each such date or year shall be delayed one more year.

\* \* \* \* \*